DAILY WARNING REPORT / TWO-STAGE DYNAMIC BAYESIAN PROTOTYPE

Extraordinary Executive Control (EEC)

Friday, 9 October 2026 | Public-source evidence cutoff: 08:05 Eastern | Monitoring through 5 January 2027

PREPARATION STATE	EXECUTED STATE	E1 -> E2 GATE	E2 / E3 PROBABILITY
101.4	**E1**	**CLOSED**	**WITHHELD**
P_t index | +0.4 day / +1.4 baseline	Exceptional prep; civil authority operative	No qualifying new execution event	No calibrated posterior probability

Executive determination. Newly disclosed ICE/HSI email records indicate preliminary coordination on voter-data investigative tooling; an earlier nationwide DOJ monitor plan is also newly incorporated. Neither establishes military activation, an election-site security operation, or federal displacement of state election administration. Correlated reports are pooled. P_t rises 0.4 versus yesterday; E_t remains E1 and its E2 execution gate is closed. [S1-S6]

1 | Changes since the 8 October report

Precursor / node	Index effect	Attribution and treatment
A / ICE voter-data analytics	+0.35	May/July internal emails disclosed Oct 8 discuss potential integration into an ICE investigative platform; vendor denies actual voter-data integration. Newly observed preparation, not deployment. [S1]
A-Q / DOJ election monitors	+0.20	Oct 4 nationwide monitoring announcement and Oct 5 FOIA suit newly integrated; routine statutory monitoring precedent sharply limits EEC weight. [S2,S3]
J-O / evidence decay	-0.10	Earlier rhetoric and domestic proximity observations continue short-half-life decay; no newly verified reinforcing military/legal action. [S5,S7]
N / gate-specific safeguards	-0.05	No new qualifying formal order in published index; Caine military no-polls/no-seizure statement still stands. Negative evidence is limited, not conclusive. [S5,S6]
NET DAILY CHANGE	+0.40	101.0 -> 101.4. Reconciliation note: the DOJ-monitor announcement predates today; the index incorporates its documented scope upon first inclusion, not as an Oct 9 governmental action.

2 | E1 -> E2 execution-gate audit

Direct qualifying indicator	09 Oct result	Reason
New order / invocation activating extraordinary domestic authority	NOT VERIFIED	White House action index contains no new published Insurrection Act invocation or election-control emergency order. Public silence is weak evidence, not proof of absence. [S5]
Federal forces executing a qualifying control mission	NOT VERIFIED	No newly corroborated active-duty or federalized-Guard election-site action. Legacy D.C. Guard presence is tracked separately and not equated with a new election-control mandate. [S6,S7]
Transfer / displacement of civilian or election authority	NOT VERIFIED	Georgia letters request assistance; disputed board mandate and no confirmed federal assumption of Georgia election administration. [S4]

Source-to-node traceability

Events are deduplicated by underlying action, not publication. Confirmation counts denote independent evidentiary origins, not article count.

ID / family	Observed action and causal pathway	Reliability / strength	First seen / decay	Correlation / confirming origins	Contradictory and negative evidence
ICE-DATA-26 A | +0.35	HSI staff emails (May 26, Jul 7) discuss iLab processing DOJ voting rolls / USCIS information and possible ELITE investigative lead tracking. Kind: organizational / analytic preparation, not military execution. [S1]	R: high for document quotations; medium for deployed capability. Path: moderate, election-investigative data systems.	Activity: May 26 / Jul 7. Disclosed: Oct 8. Persistence: 30-60 days (recheck).	Corr: HSI-ILAB-ELITE. Confirm: 1 released document set + WIRED analysis (not independent).	Palantir says voter-roll data was not integrated; ICE says it does not describe methods. Integration / deployment unproven.
DOJ-MON-26 A/Q | +0.20	Attorney General reportedly says DOJ election monitors will go to all states; NAACP seeks public records and judicial process to clarify legal authority. Kind: announced civilian personnel plan. [S2,S3]	R: medium-high statement / filing; actual mission details incomplete. Path: low-moderate to federal-state voting operations.	Announced: Oct 4. Filing: Oct 5. Persistence: 14-30 days until deployment details.	Corr: DOJ-MONITOR-PLAN. Confirm: 1 attributed AG statement; 1 court filing for dispute.	DOJ has longstanding lawful civil-rights monitoring; Aug 18 DOJ reports lawyers at primary sites. No confirmed nationwide armed election takeover.
GA-SEB-26 Q/N | 0.00 new	Georgia SEB Sept 28 vote authorized seeking help; executive director sent federal-agency letters. Board chair and another member dispute scope. Oct 8 local report reinforces prior Oct 8 correction. [S4]	R: medium-high first-person member accounts. Path: moderate state-to-federal administration request.	Action: Sep 28-30. Updated: Oct 8. Persistence: 21-45 days.	Corr: GA-SEB-LETTERS. Confirm: 2 board member accounts, 1 secretary-of-state stance.	Request remains, but no verified federal acceptance / transfer. Oct 8 correction already scored; do not score the same underlying dispute twice.
EXEC-GATE-09 L/N | -0.05	Review published White House actions and direct military election restrictions. Kind: weak negative inference from no new qualifying publication plus institutional limits. [S5,S6]	R: high for indexed acts; strength: very low-negative for absence. Path: directly relevant.	Reviewed: Oct 9, 08:05 EDT. Persistence: 1-day refresh.	Corr: WH-ACTION-REVIEW. Confirm: 1 official index; 1 existing Joint Chiefs letter.	Unpublished legal opinions or internal plans cannot be ruled out; absence of visible orders cannot close a hypothetical future gate forever.
J-O-DECAY J/O | -0.10	Election-emergency rhetoric and localized D.C. security/staging remain contextual, but no contemporaneous independent legal/operational reinforcement. Kind: decayed prior precursors.	R: prior statements high; causal weight low. Path: weak to medium.	First: late Sep / early Oct. Half-life: rhetoric 2-5d; staging 5-10d.	Corr: RHET-IA-2026; DC-SEC-2026. Confirm: no new independent escalation source.	Standing D.C. operation is not an Oct 9 active-duty election mission. No strong L/O/Q cross-family contemporaneous cluster.

Event-time vs discovery-time: The May/July ICE communications were disclosed in reporting dated October 8, after yesterday morning's evidence cutoff. Their addition raises today's evidence index but must not be described as newly issued government orders. The Oct 4 DOJ monitor announcement is a late-identified earlier observation. This report preserves all prior as-published snapshots.

Persistent signals and exclusions

The model distinguishes a capability from intent, planning from deployment, and a state Guard mission from federalized or active-duty operations.

Family	Observed status	Model disposition
L - Legal	No newly verified qualifying OLC opinion, Insurrection Act order, election-specific National Emergencies Act declaration, or similar instrument.	No positive L transition; daily formal-action review is weak N, never proof that confidential advice does not exist. [S5]
A - Organization	EO 14339 Guard readiness remains a legacy nationwide capability; ICE/HSI analytic development and DOJ civilian monitor plan are newly integrated.	ICE analytic discussion has moderate preparation relevance; DOJ plan low/moderate, not military execution. [S1-S3,S17]
O - Operations	D.C. security mission persists; recent public-source review did not establish a newly ordered election-control mission or federalized Guard election patrol.	Standing security activity is contextual E1; do not independently trigger E2 from posture or state deployments. [S7]
Q - Federal/state	Georgia board request was internally disputed; DOJ also filed an Oct 8 Denver suit over city rules for federal agents.	Georgia request is relevant but disputed; Denver civil litigation concerns identification/masks, not election command and is excluded. [S4,S8]
J - Justification	Late-Sep/early-Oct emergency and election-integrity rhetoric persists; October 8 ex-mayor request for NJ federal monitors is an individual request.	Short decay; isolated ex-officeholder statement is not an operative state request and receives no score.
N - Constraints	Caine said military/federalized Guard have no plan to attend polling sites or seize materials. 18 U.S.C. 592 and 1385 impose statutory restrictions.	Execution-path-specific negative evidence; institutional constraints do not prove impossibility of future lawful invocation. [S6,S10,S11]

3 | Guard command / state-control classification

State-controlled National Guard: routine hurricane response, disaster deployments, or state law-enforcement support are not federal E1/E2 escalation. Title 10 federalized Guard and active-duty forces: separately test legal order, mission purpose, execution, and degree of civilian displacement. Washington, D.C.: its Guard command structure differs from a state governor's Guard; standing 2025-26 D.C. security arrangements are retained as contextual E1 and reviewed for new mission changes, not treated as an Oct 9 election-control order. [S7,S11]

4 | False-positive controls and noteworthy exclusions


Ordinary federal criminal voting prosecutions, lawful DOJ civil-rights monitoring, federal-state litigation, existing disaster-response Guard deployments, and requests from former officials do not by themselves establish extraordinary executive control. The October 8 DOJ challenge to Denver identification requirements is logged as a federal-state legal conflict but excluded from core election-execution scoring because it is not an election-control mission. [S3,S8]


Independent-family clustering: no cluster premium today. The two principal additions involve civilian investigative/administrative capabilities (primarily A, with limited Q overlap). There is no corroborated matching new L or O executive-military activation within the 7-day window.

MODEL TRAJECTORY / AS-PUBLISHED DAILY SNAPSHOTS

Preparation and execution, independently charted

Baseline = 100.0 on 25 September. Curves are retrospective publication snapshots, not smoothed future-event probabilities.





Complete accumulated daily series: Sep 25: 100.0 | Sep 26: 100.0 | Sep 27: 100.0 | Sep 28: 100.4 | Sep 29: 100.2 | Sep 30: 100.6 | Oct 01: 100.8 | Oct 02: 102.2 | Oct 03: 101.6 | Oct 04: 102.2 | Oct 05: 101.6 | Oct 06: 101.6 | Oct 07: 101.4 | Oct 08: 101.0 | Oct 09: 101.4

5 | Causal architecture and update mathematics

Graph: observable families {L, A, O, Q, J, N} -> latent preparation P_t -> executed state E_t, with E_(t-1) and P_(t-1) as memory variables. An ideal calibrated filter would update p(P_t | P_(t-1), observations_t) and then p(E_t | P_t, E_(t-1), direct execution evidence). The present prototype publishes only an expert-normalized evidence index because event likelihoods, dependence structures and transition probabilities have not been estimated from representative data.

Prototype scoring discipline: bounded signed evidence weight = source reliability x causal-pathway relevance x event strength x correlation discount x exp(-ln 2 x age / family half-life). Positive and negative contributions accumulate, subject to a temporal-cluster premium only when independent moderate-or-strong families co-occur within seven days. The reported daily deltas are audited expert-weight adjustments, not computed Bayesian posterior probabilities. P_t = 100 + cumulative signed weighted adjustments; here P_t = 101.0 + 0.35 + 0.20 - 0.10 - 0.05 = 101.4.

Execution classifier: even a high P_t cannot change E1 to E2 without a verified qualifying order, force mission, or actual transfer of civil authority. E3 additionally requires material suspension or replacement of ordinary civil authority. P(E2 or E3) is withheld, not numerically estimated. The cutoff state is E1; the E1->E2 gate is closed.

6 | Historical detector sanity checks

Positive execution recognition: Little Rock 1957, Detroit 1967, Los Angeles 1992 require the detector to recognize formal federal orders and actual federal missions. Specificity/near-miss checks: Ferguson 2014 (state-controlled Guard not automatically federal E2), Washington 2020 (preparation and presence without presumptive E2), and January 6, 2021 (crisis response is not automatically executive displacement). These six qualitative examples test architecture and false-positive resistance; they do not statistically validate sensitivity or probability calibration. [S12,S13]

Markets, horizon and source register

Outside-market signals are quarantined from P_t to prevent circular evidence and duplicated media reactions.

7 | Prediction market watch (excluded from P_t)

Contract / horizon	Approx. Yes	How interpreted
Insurrection Act invoked by Dec 31, 2026	~15%	Formal invocation contract, not a forecast of martial law or EEC state. [S14]
Federal nationalization of elections by Dec 31, 2026	~10%	Low-turnover contract with its own settlement rules; not a proxy for E2. [S15]
New election-interference national emergency by Dec 31, 2026	~23%	Different legal trigger; does not itself prove military execution or election displacement. [S16]

Indicative platform prices viewed on the morning of Oct 9; volatility, contract definitions and liquidity matter. These numbers are neither independent observations nor a calibrated probability of E2/E3.

8 | Monitoring endpoint and legal test

120th Congress: the Twentieth Amendment default is noon on January 3, 2027 unless the preceding Congress appoints a different day by law. No enacted date-shifting law was identified in this source check. Continue through January 5, 2027; if that law changes, the endpoint becomes two days after the newly enacted date. The January 3 date falls on a Sunday; that alone does not change the constitutional default. [S9]

Legal execution tests: Insurrection Act authorities under 10 U.S.C. 251-254, including a dispersal proclamation under sec. 254; 18 U.S.C. 1385 generally constrains active-duty domestic execution of law absent constitutional/statutory exception; 18 U.S.C. 592 restricts troops/armed personnel at election sites. These are distinct restrictions and pathways, not a general federal power to administer state elections. [S10-S12]

9 | Primary and secondary source register

S1 WIRED | HSI/ICE voter-fraud analytic-tool emails; Oct 8	S2 NAACP | FOIA lawsuit on planned DOJ monitors; Oct 5
S3 DOJ | Civil Rights Division primary-election monitoring; Aug 18	S4 Atlanta News First | Georgia election-board letter dispute; Oct 8
S5 White House | Presidential Actions index; checked Oct 9	S6 Sen. Slotkin / Joint Chiefs | Caine no-troops/no-seizure letter; Aug 31
S7 AP | Standing D.C. Guard mission; Oct 3	S8 DOJ | Suits over Denver restrictions on federal agents; Oct 8
S9 U.S. Senate | Congressional session dates, including 20th Amendment	S10 18 U.S.C. 592 | Armed personnel at election locations
S11 18 U.S.C. 1385 | Posse Comitatus Act	S12 10 U.S.C. 254 | Dispersal proclamation for Insurrection Act
S13 Brennan Center | Historical Insurrection Act invocations	S14 Polymarket | Insurrection Act by Dec 31; Oct 9 view
S15 Polymarket | Nationalize elections; Oct 9 view	S16 Polymarket | Election-interference emergency; Oct 9 view
S17 White House | EO 14339, Guard readiness; Aug 2025	

Audit note: [S1] describes documentary evidence but not confirmed tool deployment; [S2] is an advocacy litigant and documents allegations, not judicial findings; [S5] cannot exclude nonpublic executive preparation. Source reliability and causal relevance are separately assessed throughout.