EXTRAORDINARY EXECUTIVE CONTROL

Daily public-source warning report | Tuesday, 13 October 2026 | Evidence cutoff: approximately 08:40 EDT

PREPARATION P_t	EXECUTED STATE E_t	E1 to E2 GATE	E2 / E3 PROBABILITY
101.1	**E1**	**CLOSED**	**WITHHELD**
Unchanged / +1.1 vs baseline	Civil institutions operating	No direct qualifying action	Uncalibrated model

Executive determination. The available public-source record supports carrying forward E1 with a **closed execution gate**. Newly documented Army repositioning at the southern border is a real operating-force movement, but available reporting describes surveillance and support under Border Patrol leadership, not direct extraordinary military law enforcement or election control. A new DOJ charging announcement supplies weak official-justification context; a federal court order supplies a narrower institutional constraint. Combined with aging prior rhetoric/physical proximity, these inputs net to **zero change** in the normalized **evidence index**. No new independent cross-family cluster meets the escalation premium. [S19] [S20] [S21] [S22] [S26]

Interpretation discipline: The 101.1 value is an expert-normalized evidence index anchored to 100.0 on 25 September, **not a literal probability, calibrated Bayesian posterior, or percent risk**. E1 means extraordinary preparation/intervention concern while ordinary civilian institutions still operate; it is not an E2 finding.

1 | Daily update accounting

Observation / node	Index delta	Assessment
O | Border Army repositioning	+0.10	Hundreds of existing border troops shifted toward Rio Grande Valley; real military movement but weak linkage to EEC pathways; Border Patrol remains lead. [S19]
J | DOJ election-crime charges	+0.05	Oct. 12 DOJ announced 16 charged persons. Only weak public-justification significance; overlap with prior release and routine prosecution. [S20]
N | DHS advertising TRO	−0.05	Oct. 11 federal TRO and Oct. 12 government compliance filing show bounded judicial constraint; limited military/EEC relevance. [S21] [S22]
J / O | Temporal decay	−0.10	Pre-existing short-lived statements and isolated D.C. ballot-box proximity decay; model assumption, not a new observed incident.
NET	0.00	101.1 on Oct. 12 → **101.1** today. No cluster bonus; market weight remains zero.

Most influential continuing negative evidence: October 9 voter-list policy vacatur; military no-polls assurance; Guard/election-board site restrictions. These were already incorporated, **not re-scored** today. [S02] [S03] [S05] [S06]

2 | Execution gate and status separation

Required direct execution indicator	Finding	Basis
Actual statutory activation / order	NOT VERIFIED	Public White House action index newest listed entry: Oct. 7. This is weak negative evidence; nonpublication cannot exclude confidential orders. [S26]
Actual federal military policing / extraordinary control mission	NOT VERIFIED (new)	Border troops observed supporting Border Patrol, which leads enforcement; earlier D.C. Guard mission is long-running and scope-specific. No newly verified military election enforcement. [S19]
Actual command transfer or civil authority displacement	NOT VERIFIED	Election administration, courts and congressional functions remain independently operative in reviewed reports. Judicially authorized HSI warrant does not transfer command. [S21] [S24]

Guard status rule: State Active Duty or Title 32 Guard acting under state control must not trigger a federal E1/E2 classification. Federal Title 10 activation, D.C. Guard command, Coast Guard statutory enforcement, and ordinary Army support must be coded separately. A deployment is not synonymous with extraordinary direct civilian policing. Historical D.C. and 2025 federalization cases must be reviewed separately rather than silently assumed to be new October 2026 E2 events.

3 | Current observation ledger

One record per underlying observation. Reliability applies to the specific claimed fact; a primary statement establishes what an agency said, not that an allegation has been proved. “Confirming origins” counts independent information origins rather than copies or summaries.

O-BORDER-OPS-1013 | O | today +0.10

AP reports the Army is shifting several hundred existing U.S. border troops to the Rio Grande Valley during October; about 8,000 troops were already on the border. Army personnel visibly conduct surveillance and relay sightings to Border Patrol. Border Patrol remains lead for enforcement; AP does not establish Army arrests or independent civil-law enforcement. [S19]

Class: operational support / military capability (border scope) | **Strength:** Moderate operational staging; LOW election-control-pathway relevance
First public: 2026-10-12/13 (AP; exact publication hour not resolved) | **First in model:** 2026-10-13 | **Event:** 2026-10 (repositioning); photographs 2026-10-08
Source reliability: High for observed mission and quoted commanders; medium for full troop-status/authority inventory | **Independent origins:** 1
Pathway: Military support to federal civilian border enforcement; NOT a verified domestic extraordinary civilian command displacement, E2 direct-policing mission, or election-force mission
Persistence: ~7-day half-life for movement; active mission is reassessed continuously | **Correlation:** BORDER-ARMY-SUPPORT-2026-10
Negative/contradictory: AP attributes apprehensions to Border Patrol; troops observe/report. No new activation order or policing authority identified. Border mission is not itself evidence of election intervention.
Treatment: newly confirmed troop movement detail; deliberately heavily pathway-discounted

J-DOJ-CHARGES-1012 | J | today +0.05

DOJ announced charges involving 16 people for various alleged election-related offenses. Cases involve alleged past conduct, not a claim that military election supervision or emergency takeover is necessary. Press release follows a similar Oct 5 charge announcement. [S20]

Class: official public justification / ordinary prosecution | **Strength:** Weak pathway signal; official enforcement framing but ordinary process
First public: 2026-10-12 | **First in model:** 2026-10-13 | **Event:** 2026-10-12
Source reliability: High for DOJ announcement; unadjudicated allegations are not proven facts | **Independent origins:** 1
Pathway: Civilian federal election-crime prosecution; potential background justification family only; no extraordinary statutory predicate asserted
Persistence: 3-day rhetorical half-life; cases retained separately as normal civilian process | **Correlation:** DOJ-ELECTION-CHARGES-2026-10
Negative/contradictory: Indictments/complaints are allegations; DOJ explicitly notes innocence presumption. Same prosecutorial campaign as Oct 5 announcement; no cluster multiplier.
Treatment: new release, discounted for overlapping Oct 5 campaign and weak EEC linkage

N-DHS-TRO-1011 | N | today -0.05

A federal judge imposed a temporary order on October 11 barring use of DHS appropriations for identified television advertising, and requiring cancellation of placements. DOJ reported October 12 that DHS/vendor had been notified and it understood the restrictions; independent airtime data indicated some ads ran after the initial deadline, reportedly owing to notice delay. [S21] [S22] [S23]

Class: judicial constraint and executive response | **Strength:** Strong judicial action; LOW-to-moderate EEC pathway relevance
First public: 2026-10-11 | **First in model:** 2026-10-13 | **Event:** 2026-10-11 order; 2026-10-12 response
Source reliability: High for order and DOJ filing (primary docket documents); moderate for independent airtime measurements | **Independent origins:** 2
Pathway: Article III check on executive appropriations and official political messaging; NOT an order about Insurrection Act, federalization or poll-site troop deployment
Persistence: 14-day TRO, unless extended/modified; reassess thereafter | **Correlation:** DHS-ADS-TRO-2026-10
Negative/contradictory: Late-running ads show imperfect immediate compliance; government counsel acknowledged delay and represented subsequent compliance. No military-authority inference.
Treatment: new targeted constraint; limited negative weight for EEC pathway

A-HSI-CONGRESS-SEARCH-1012 | A | today 0.00

Federal HSI agents executed a DOJ-described judicially authorized search warrant at a D.C. home associated with a sitting member of Congress. Officials did not disclose investigative details; the member disputed allegations of political targeting. No troop participation or displacement of Congress was reported. [S24] [S25]

Class: civilian investigative action; screened out as EEC escalation | **Strength:** Contextual concern about selective enforcement, but NONE as extraordinary military activation
First public: 2026-10-12 | **First in model:** 2026-10-13 | **Event:** 2026-10-12
Source reliability: High for occurrence/DOJ warrant confirmation; intent disputed and not independently determined | **Independent origins:** 2
Pathway: Ordinary civilian criminal investigation with judicial warrant; not Insurrection Act, Title 10 troop employment or emergency control
Persistence: Monitor if any verified abnormal command or procedural changes occur; no P_t effect currently | **Correlation:** HSI-CONGRESS-SEARCH-2026-10
Negative/contradictory: DOJ says warrant court-authorized; no evidence in these reports of military force, suspended congressional functions, or emergency-order action. Public accusations of political motive are attributed, not established.
Treatment: screened out: ordinary judicially authorized law-enforcement action, disputed interpretations

4 | Continuing accumulated evidence

Previously observed events are retained with dates, persistence and contradictions; no new positive/negative increment is attributed to re-reading the same sources today. Where prior snapshots used broad source labels, the references remain those of the original ledger.

L-OLC-20260512 | L | carry-forward

May 12 OLC opinion asserted DOJ authority to seek and share statewide voter-roll data with DHS; opinion predates the Oct. 9 court vacatur of the challenged implementation policy. [S01] [S02] [S03]

Reliability: High: dated DOJ OLC text | **Strength:** Moderate legal preparation, NOT domestic military authority
Dates: event 2026-05-12; first public 2026-05-12; first observed 2026-10-12 (late identification)
Pathway: Civilian election-data process; no Insurrection Act or Title 10 activation | **Persistence/decay:** Long (60-120 d); superseded/discounted if judicially disallowed
Correlation: FED-VOTER-DATA-2026/OLC | **Independent origins:** 1
Negative/contradictory: Oct. 9 judicial ruling materially restricts challenged implementation; earlier opinion is not proof of deployment planning.
Status: historical backfill; zero new increment due to shared pathway

Q-CT-HSI-1009 | Q | carry-forward

Connecticut election official confirmed awareness of possible federal record requests involving three towns; precise subpoena text and legal scope not verified. [S04] [S02]

Reliability: Moderate-high for official awareness; moderate for legal specifics | **Strength:** Low-moderate
Dates: event circa 2026-10-06 to 2026-10-09; first public 2026-10-09; first observed 2026-10-11
Pathway: Federal/state election data: HSI/DOJ civilian pathway, not military intervention | **Persistence/decay:** 21-45 d until resolved
Correlation: FED-VOTER-DATA-2026/CT-HSI-REGISTRARS | **Independent origins:** 1
Negative/contradictory: Could be case-specific lawful investigation; Connecticut judicial limits on bulk state rolls; no actual military order.
Status: previously scored +0.15 on Oct 11

N-DOJ-VLR-1009 | N | carry-forward

Federal district court vacated DOJ challenged voter-list maintenance policy Oct. 9; court filings and reporting independently describe the order. [S02] [S03]

Reliability: High: recorded court order | **Strength:** Strong legal constraint on this pathway
Dates: event 2026-10-09; first public 2026-10-09; first observed 2026-10-10
Pathway: Judicial constraint on federal directing of state voter-list maintenance | **Persistence/decay:** 30-90 d, until stayed or reversed
Correlation: FED-VOTER-DATA-2026/DOJ-LIST-POLICY | **Independent origins:** 1
Negative/contradictory: Narrowly targeted; lawful assistance and states own voter-roll functions remain possible; appeal status unverified.
Status: previously scored -0.35 on Oct 10

O-DC-BOX-1008 | O | carry-forward

Oct. 8 imagery showed D.C. Guard troops near Foggy Bottom ballot box; officials say they paused in an ordinary patrol, not tasked to secure/monitor elections. [S05] [S06]

Reliability: High for proximity; low for inferred purpose | **Strength:** Weak operational precursor
Dates: event 2026-10-08; first public 2026-10-09; first observed 2026-10-10
Pathway: Potential elections operations by D.C. Guard; NO verified election-control duty | **Persistence/decay:** 5-10 d half-life
Correlation: DC-BOX-2026 | **Independent origins:** 1
Negative/contradictory: Military officials explicitly denied election mission; board requested distance limits; no documented mission order.
Status: already included; decaying

N-DC-BOX-RESPONSE | N | carry-forward

D.C. election board asked Guard for more distance from election locations; Guard representatives described training to avoid voting sites. [S05] [S06]

Reliability: High for attributed official statements | **Strength:** Moderate limitation but uncertain enforcement
Dates: event 2026-10-09; first public 2026-10-09; first observed 2026-10-10
Pathway: Restriction on election-site troop positioning; contrast with hypothetical E2 mission | **Persistence/decay:** 14-30 d
Correlation: DC-BOX-2026 | **Independent origins:** 2
Negative/contradictory: Same precipitating Guard-box incident; institutional positions are not independent separate physical events.
Status: previously scored -0.10 on Oct 10

N-CAINE-0828 | N | carry-forward

Joint Chiefs chair wrote that federal troops and federalized Guard were not planned for polling locations and no seizure of election materials was planned. [S09]

Reliability: High for attributed written senior command statement | **Strength:** Moderate negative prospective constraint
Dates: event 2026-08-28; first public 2026-08-31; first observed prior period; verified 2026-10-12
Pathway: E2 military involvement in elections specifically | **Persistence/decay:** Policy statement through election unless contradicted
Correlation: MIL-ELECTION-PLANS-2026 | **Independent origins:** 1
Negative/contradictory: A plan denial is not operational proof of future compliance; does not exclude lawful protection elsewhere.
Status: already reflected in baseline constraint

J-DOJ-1005 | J | carry-forward

DOJ publicly announced election-related criminal charges Oct. 5. Charges are allegations; lawful case investigation does not imply extraordinary authority. [S10]

Reliability: High for official announcement; no independent corroboration of each accusation | **Strength:** Weak for EEC despite concrete cases
Dates: event 2026-10-05; first public 2026-10-05; first observed 2026-10-12 (scope check)
Pathway: Civilian electoral law enforcement; no military / Insurrection Act predicate alone | **Persistence/decay:** 7-14 d for rhetorical component
Correlation: FED-ELECTION-ENFORCEMENT-2026 | **Independent origins:** 1
Negative/contradictory: Ordinary federal prosecutions and state-run elections are non-gate operations.
Status: context; zero increment

N-CONGRESS-PLEDGE-1010 | N | carry-forward

House members from both parties are circulating pledge against delaying seating of certified winners; two other members confirmed signatures to a reporter. [S07]

Reliability: Moderate-high for attributed organizers/signatories | **Strength:** Weak: pledge not adopted or binding
Dates: event 2026-10-10; first public 2026-10-10; first observed 2026-10-12
Pathway: Potential post-election institutional resistance, not domestic troop command | **Persistence/decay:** 14-30 d while support/response develops
Correlation: CONGRESS-SEATING-2027 | **Independent origins:** 1
Negative/contradictory: No enforceable legislation or verified broad signatures; concerns expressed are not proof of an executive plan.
Status: newly observed (Oct 10 article); no index increment

N-STATE-LAWS-2026 | N | carry-forward

AP describes new state-enacted election laws; changing state voting rules remains state legislative action and not evidence of federalized troops or executive military control. [S14]

Reliability: High for reporting existence of state laws | **Strength:** Zero federal extraordinary-authority relevance
Dates: event 2026 through October; first public 2026-10-12 (article surfaced); first observed 2026-10-12
Pathway: State processes / civilian institutional operation | **Persistence/decay:** No decay, jurisdictional rule
Correlation: STATE-ELECTION-LAWS-2026 | **Independent origins:** 1
Negative/contradictory: Some restrictions contested in litigation; disagreement over policy does not change federal execution gate.
Status: screened out; zero increment

5 | Six-family synthesis and temporal dependence

Family	Observation at cutoff	Inference / treatment
L Legal preparation	May 12 OLC opinion, earlier election executive actions; White House index checked Oct 13 has newest listed Oct 7 and no identified new published EEC invocation.	Long-persistence civilian legal pathway, constrained by Oct 9 court ruling; no new qualifying emergency activation.
A Administrative / organization	Previously identified DOJ civilian election monitoring and voter-data analytics preparation; HSI court-authorized Congressional-home search Oct 12 is conventional criminal process.	No new confirmed military election tasking, changed command authority, or extraordinary interagency operations unit.
O Operational staging	AP documented redeployment of hundreds of Army personnel within an existing southern-border mission, Border Patrol lead. Prior D.C. Guard ballot-box proximity remains single, aging incident.	Military presence and support capability are distinct from arrest/control mission under E2 gate; no documented election-site military mission.
Q Federal-state interface	Connecticut reported requests and prior DOJ election-data litigation remain active context.	Do not count multiple towns or news retellings as independent command decisions; no new federalization pressure documented.
J Official justification	DOJ Oct 12 released 16 election-crime charging cases, following Oct 5 press activity.	Allegations concern ordinary law-enforcement prosecutions; no extraordinary authority predicate or adjudicated systemic election threat.
N Constraints / negative	Oct 9 district-court voter-data vacatur, August military no-polls assurance, D.C. election-board/Guard restriction, Oct 11 S.D.N.Y. DHS-ad TRO.	Constraints lower concern on particular pathways. Some limits are unrelated to military authority and receive little index weight.

Correlation and deduplication

One legal/data-origin cluster: OLC voter-list interpretation, DOJ data demands, Connecticut requests and October 9 court vacatur are related but not identical. Several towns and multiple reports do not become multiple independent command orders. **One physical incident:** October 8 D.C. Guard proximity and subsequent Guard/Board rebuttal share event ID DC-BOX-2026. **One DOJ messaging program:** October 5 and October 12 charging releases overlap in institutional purpose and are discounted. New Army border support is operationally separate from civilian election prosecutions.

Temporal clustering gate

The rule reserves a positive clustering adjustment for two or more **independent**, moderate-to-strong precursor families with a coherent extraordinary-authority pathway inside a short window (illustratively 3-7 days). Today, the border shift (O) and election-charge announcement (J) concern different operational purposes; no common special tasking, military order or state-bypass mechanism has been demonstrated. **Cluster premium = 0.00.**

Strongest positive contributors


New +0.10: Army border repositioning; +0.05: DOJ charging release. Strongest retained positive pathway is the earlier DOJ/civilian election-data legal and organizational preparations, with pre-existing discounting. Neither newly added event independently establishes an intent to assume election administration or invoke emergency military powers.

Strongest negative contributors

New −0.05: targeted S.D.N.Y. TRO; −0.10: short-half-life signal decay. Strongest continuing institutional negatives are the October 9 voter-list policy vacatur, the chairman of the Joint Chiefs' no-troops-at-polls assurance, and the stated D.C. Guard instruction not to secure election sites. Court orders and military position statements are narrower than guarantees of future conduct.

6 | Independent trend channels

Historical numbers are carried from the prior published October 12 trend file without retroactive recalculation. The index follows expert-normalized incremental updates and should not be regarded as mathematically fitted Bayesian probabilities.



Accumulated series: **19** daily snapshots from September 25 through October 13. Baseline = 100.0. Observed index range = 100.0-102.2; current = 101.1, −0.0 day, +1.1 baseline. E_t has remained E1 and the direct execution gate closed in the retained daily snapshot series. Those historical classifications are historical monitor decisions, not back-fitted objective truth.

7 | Model mathematics, limitations, and gate

Conceptual dynamic Bayesian graph: Observations O_t = {L,A,O,Q,J,N} → latent preparation P_t → executed state E_t, with temporal dependences P_(t−1) → P_t and E_(t−1) → E_t; qualifying direct execution indicator X_t directly gates transitions to E2. Correlation-group latent parents prevent duplicated sourcing from being treated as independent emissions.

Proper Bayesian formulation (not yet estimated): posterior p(P_t | O_1:t) ∝ p(O_t | P_t, correlation groups) ∫ p(P_t | P_(t−1))p(P_(t−1) | O_1:t−1)dP_(t−1). Then p(E_t | E_(t−1),P_t,X_t) incorporates a hard gate disallowing E2 absent verified

X_t. The existing small historical set is not enough to fit and validate emission/transition distributions.

Actual published operational approximation: I_t = I_(t−1) + Σ sign_i · reliability_i · pathway_relevance_i · strength_i · correlation_discount_i · 2^(−age_i / half_life_i) + cluster_bonus, with practitioner-selected scales and rounded small daily deltas. Here the ledger's reported deltas are final, audited heuristic increments, not recovered likelihood ratios. The word "Bayesian" describes the intended causal architecture, **not** a claim that I_t is a posterior probability.

Decay heuristics: rhetoric 2-5 days; isolated staging 5-10 days; organization 21-45 days; legal positions 30-90 days unless superseded; short TRO 14 days pending extension or new order. Constraints remain visible for the life of the controlling judicial or institutional action. Every change must have a correlation group, a source origin and a dated event separate from its discovery date.

8 | Historical back-test sanity checks

Case	Expected detector response	Specificity / limitation
Little Rock, 1957	E2, verified federalized Guard and active Army protection mission.	Explicit orders/force mission, not mere rhetoric.
Detroit, 1967	E2, President ordered federal troops into domestic operations.	Actual deployment and mission control determine classification.
Los Angeles, 1992	E2, direct federal military response after extraordinary activation.	No retroactive numerical probability claimed.
Ferguson, 2014	No E2 from state-controlled Guard activation alone.	State emergency forces are not automatically federally commanded.
Washington, D.C., 2020	Potentially elevated E1 preparations; demand proof for any E2 activation.	Staging, debate or senior-official concerns are not execution.
January 6, 2021	Violence itself is not an E2 military-order indicator.	Separate extraordinary action from response under ordinary authority.

These six cases are **hand-picked face-validity controls only**, not statistical validation. The key false-positive tests are (a) ordinary state Guard, (b) Washington 2020 preparations without automatically advancing to E2, and (c) judicially authorized civilian law-enforcement action.

9 | Prediction market and monitoring horizon

Prediction market: Relevant Polymarket pages show indicative approximately 11-14% "YES" quotes for Insurrection Act invocation by December 31, but their cached pages and displayed bids are inconsistent across localization/time; no synchronized October 13 executable quote is established. Treat as weak external sentiment only; **weight = zero** and do not equate to this model's E2/E3 probability. [S28]

Congress: The Twentieth Amendment's default noon January 3, 2027 convening date remains the planning assumption; January 3 is a Sunday. No enacted alternate date was identified in the reviewed official/secondary sources. The search could not comprehensively verify Congress.gov's restricted bill database, so this is **not definitive legal clearance**. Continue monitoring through January 5, 2027, and move the horizon to two days after any enacted alternate convening date. [S27]

Source coverage limitations: Publications and court dockets are not complete ledgers of confidential orders, classified plans, or oral instructions. A negative execution-gate result means "not verified in reviewed public evidence," not proof of absence. The monitor follows public events prospectively, not legal advice or an assertion about any actor's motives.

10 | Traceable sources

S01-S18 are retained from the 12 October state ledger, S19-S29 were added today. Multiple citations can point to the same underlying government action. Links below open the respective original publication or reporter account.

S01 DOJ Office of Legal Counsel, Authority to Obtain and Share Statewide Voter Roll Data (May 12, 2026)
Primary government legal opinion | www.justice.gov/olc/opinion/authority-obtain-and-share-statewide-voter-roll-data

S02 Common Cause v. DOJ docket, University of Michigan Civil Rights Litigation Clearinghouse (Oct. 9 ruling)
Court docket / original order index | clearinghouse.net/case/48121/

S03 Washington Post, Court vacates DOJ voter-list program (Oct. 9, 2026)
Independent court reporting | www.washingtonpost.com/politics/2026/10/09/dc-judge-bars-doj-gathering-states-voter-lists-find-noncitizens/

S04 CT Insider, Connecticut voter-record requests (Oct. 9, 2026)
State official attribution / local reporting | www.ctinsider.com/politics/article/feds-seek-ct-voter-records-3-towns-22466768.php

S05 Washington Post, D.C. Guard troops beside ballot box (Oct. 9, 2026)
Independent reporting / military attribution | www.washingtonpost.com/dc-md-va/2026/10/09/troops-were-loitering-by-dc-ballot-box-by-mistake-national-guard-says/

S06 Task & Purpose, Guard / election-board responses (Oct. 9, 2026)
Defense-specialist press / official attribution | taskandpurpose.com/news/national-guard-washington-dc-ballot-drop-box/

S07 Washington Post, Bipartisan seating-of-members pledge effort (Oct. 10, 2026)
Independent parliamentary reporting | www.washingtonpost.com/politics/2026/10/10/house-lawmakers-want-members-pledge-they-wont-refuse-seek-democratic-congress/

S08 White House, executive orders index (reviewed Oct. 12, 2026)
Primary executive record, publication not exhaustive | www.whitehouse.gov/presidential-actions/executive-orders/

S09 Washington Post, Gen. Caine letter to Sen. Slotkin (Sep. 10, 2026)
Attributed senior military assurance | www.washingtonpost.com/politics/2026/09/10/sending-armed-ice-agents-polling-places-would-be-federal-crime-lawsuit-says/

S10 DOJ, Election-crime charges announcement (Oct. 5, 2026)
Primary press release / charging allegations | www.justice.gov/opa/pr/justice-department-announces-charges-involving-16-aliens-election-crimes

S11 Polymarket, Insurrection Act by Dec. 31 market (snapshot Oct. 12, 2026)
Weak separate sentiment signal, zero model weight | polymarket.com/event/insurrection-act-invoked-by

S12 National Archives, Twentieth Amendment text
Primary constitutional text | www.archives.gov/electoral-college/provisions

S13 Senate, dates of sessions of Congress (reviewed Oct. 12, 2026)
Primary institutional historical record | www.senate.gov/legislative/DatesofSessionsofCongress.htm

S14 AP, 2026 state voting law changes (reviewed Oct. 12, 2026)
Independent reporting; state actions not federalization | apnews.com/article/midterms-voting-states-citizenship-voter-id-afed320c2dba532098b5158d2d0f107c

S15 National Task Force on Election Crises, Authorities Governing Troop Deployment (Sep. 2026)
Legal-policy synthesis; contextual | electiontaskforce.org/wp-content/uploads/2026/09/Military-at-the-Polls.pdf

S16 18 U.S.C. 592 - troops at election locations
Statute | www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/18/592

S17 18 U.S.C. 1385 - Posse Comitatus Act
Statute | www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/18/1385

S18 10 U.S.C. 254 - dispersal proclamation
Statute | www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/10/254

S19 Associated Press, Rio Grande troop repositioning and Army/Border Patrol division of responsibility (reviewed Oct 13, photographs Oct 8)
Independent on-scene original reporting | apnews.com/article/border-administration-immigration-midterms-68d8c27e6fcedb6675a76ed37d56d4fd

S20 DOJ, election-crime charges announced Oct 12, 2026
Primary agency statement; allegations not findings | www.justice.gov/opa/pr/justice-department-announces-charges-involving-16-individuals-election-crimes

S21 S.D.N.Y., Common Cause v DHS, Oct 11 temporary restraining order, docket 41
Primary federal court order | storage.courtlistener.com/recap/gov.uscourts.nysd.674638/gov.uscourts.nysd.674638.41.0.pdf

S22 S.D.N.Y., DOJ Oct 12 response confirming compliance with Oct 11 TRO, docket 44
Primary government filing | storage.courtlistener.com/recap/gov.uscourts.nysd.674638/gov.uscourts.nysd.674638.44.0.pdf

S23 Washington Post, report on government-funded advertisements and delayed implementation of TRO, Oct 12
Independent judicial and advertising reporting | www.washingtonpost.com/politics/2026/10/12/judge-blocks-trump-administration-airing-taxpayer-funded-campaign-style-ads/

S24 Associated Press, court-authorized search of representative home, Oct 12
Independent reporting | apnews.com/article/ilhan-omar-home-searched-8e18c2aea948bd90f4d7a4eb32b9ec3a

S25 Washington Post, court-authorized search of representative home, Oct 12
Independent reporting with DOJ statement | www.washingtonpost.com/nation/2026/10/12/federal-agents-search-rep-ilhan-omars-dc-home/

S26 White House, Presidential Actions publication index (reviewed Oct 13)
Primary publication index; not a comprehensive negative proof | www.whitehouse.gov/presidential-actions/

S27 U.S. Senate, Dates of Sessions of the Congress (constitutional timing precedent)
Official Senate institutional resource | www.senate.gov/legislative/DatesofSessionsofCongress.htm

S28 Polymarket, market on Insurrection Act invocation by year end (indicative and stale-crawl risk)
Non-evidentiary financial sentiment | polymarket.com/event/insurrection-act-invoked-by

S29 DOJ, explanation of longstanding authorized Civil Rights Division election monitoring
Primary agency legal and operational explanation | www.justice.gov/crt/about-federal-observers-and-election-monitoring