EXTRAORDINARY EXECUTIVE CONTROL

Complete daily Bayesian-structured warning report | October 24, 2026

CORRECTED FULL-LENGTH EDITION - restores omitted analytical sections and independently charted trends. Prior short-form versions omitted information.

Retrospective reconstruction: dated October 24 but compiled using public evidence reviewed through October 27. Do not read as a contemporaneous snapshot.

1 | Executive assessment

Metric	Prior day	Report day / change
Preparation index P(t)	101.30	101.20 (-0.10)
Executed state E(t)	E2 - geographically D.C.-limited	E2 - D.C.-limited (unchanged)
E1 to E2 execution gate	Open for D.C. existing mission	Open D.C. only; closed for newly evaluated election/national pathways
Baseline September 25	100.0	+1.20 relative index points
E3 displacement	Not established	Not established
Calibrated risk probability	Not available	No statistical calibration available

Interpretation: The preparation score is a normalized, expert-weighted evidence INDEX, not a literal probability or empirically fitted Bayesian posterior. An E2 label here is restricted to a pre-existing D.C. mission under a broader military-support interpretation, and is NOT a finding of a nationwide election-control operation.

2 | Change from yesterday and baseline

Index reconciliation	Contribution
New/corrected observations	-0.05
Temporal decay	-0.05
Total	-0.10
Prior / current	101.30 / 101.20

Retrospective reconstruction using public evidence available through Oct27; not a contemporaneous snapshot. Nine-state DHS injunction: original stay period expired Oct 23; no superseding stay verified in reviewed sources; California supplemental opposition to proposed segregation of 20,000 ballots

3 | Six precursor families and qualitative update

Family / node	Assessment and daily contribution
L - Legal preparation	No newly verified published Insurrection Act authority activation, new federalization order, or transfer of civil command. Previously scored legal opinions and executive orders persist. Existing courts and lawsuits do not establish extraordinary execution. [index change: 0]

Family / node	Assessment and daily contribution
A - Administrative/organizational	DOJ civilian monitoring notifications reached Utah, but DOJ election observers are a preexisting civilian statutory capability; no new federal military command chain or extraordinary interagency tasking confirmed. [index change: 0]
O - Operational preparation/execution	Continuing D.C. Guard law-enforcement support is a preexisting D.C.-limited broad-functional E2; no newly verified election-site military mission, federalized Guard deployment or active-duty domestic policing order. [index change: 0]
Q - Federal/state escalation interface	DOJ/California voter eligibility litigation and voter-data disputes remain already scored. Utah state election officials report compliance conditions for ordinary federal observers. No verified new override of state election certification. [index change: 0]
J - Official justification environment	Attorney General Blanche acknowledged only hypothetical extreme circumstances for DOJ certification involvement and expressly denied any current intention to intervene improperly. Treat as short-lived, weak-to-moderate rhetoric, not operational intent. [index change: 0]
N - Constraints and negative evidence	D.C. AG Oct21 voting rights advisory newly incorporated (-0.05); federal civilian election monitors remain subject to state rules. Nine-state DHS injunction still temporarily stayed at morning cutoff, prior negative score retained with no repeat. [index change: -0.05]

4 | Evidence profiles, correlation and counterevidence

The table below contains the newest dated evidence in the cumulative state. Repeated coverage of a common event is treated as a single correlated observation. The full observation ledger and source inventory remain in the companion JSON.

Node / observation / pathway	Quality / competing evidence / persistence
CATCHUP-20261024-2 | N | California supplemental opposition to proposed segregation of 20,000 ballots Pathway: Civilian election litigation; NOT federal military activation First observed: 2026-10-27 retrospective catch-up review | Source IDs: S143	Reliability: MODERATE-HIGH: reputable reporting or party filing; corroboration limited Strength: NO NEW WEIGHT | confirmers: 1 Correlation: CA-DOJ-BALLOTS-20261015 Persistence: Court orders persist until superseded; hearing/rhetoric short decay Negative/counter: Continuation of previously scored dispute; not an independent judicial injunction.

5 | Execution-gate and constitutional-authority audit

Gate ruling

OPEN only for longstanding D.C. Guard broad-functional police-support mission, CLOSED on all newly evaluated nationwide/election-control pathways

Direct indicators supporting the existing D.C. classification

Previously documented Guard patrols and assistance to civilian law enforcement in D.C. under presidential direction. The Oct14 methodological reclassification still applies to this already running operation.

Methodological sensitivity

If E2 requires a formal Title10 federalization or direct military arrests/searches rather than domestic police support, reclassify continuing D.C. as unresolved E1/E2; no new evidence resolves it.

Nonqualifying indications

DOJ civilian poll observers in Utah and other states are not military federalization or extraordinary execution; Oct22 AG hypothetical remarks lack activating order, specific plan or operational deployment; Nine-state DHS injunction concerns civilian federal investigation; courts remain functional; Ordinary court election-ID ruling in New Hampshire

New Insurrection Act order verified: False

New extraordinary election-control mission verified: False

Displacement of civilian authority verified: False


E3 established: False


National Guard distinction

State-directed Guard activity does not by itself constitute federal E1 or E2. The Washington, D.C. Guard has a distinctive command framework. Under a strict criterion requiring independently confirmed direct arrest/search powers or Title 10 federalization, the D.C. E1/E2 classification remains methodologically ambiguous.

6 | Independent historical trend charts

Chart 1 shows normalized preparation index P(t) on its own scale. Chart 2 shows separately the categorical executed state E(t). The mid-October change in E(t) reflects a change in interpretation of the existing D.C. mission, not a newly observed national escalation.





7 | Bayesian-structured methodology, limitations and controls

Nodes

Observable legal/administrative/operational/federal-state/justification/constraint indicators -> latent preparation state P_t -> execution state E_t with independent hard gate

Hidden preparation

Index 100.0 on 2026-09-25, expert weights and temporal decay, independent-event and independent-family clustering; not a fitted posterior

Executed state

E0 ordinary civilian constitutional ops; E1 unusual federal preparation/intervention; E2 actual extraordinary federal domestic military/executive mission; E3 displacement of civil authority approaching martial law. Do not promote E2 by precursor sum alone.

Gate

Open only upon qualifying actual invocation/order, actual execution of exceptional mission, or actual transfer/displacement of civilian authority. Existing D.C. mission uses broad functional interpretation with acknowledged ambiguity.

Correlation

Multiple reports one event and same program one causal cluster; source counts track independent information origins rather than article count.

Decay

Rhetoric 3-day half-life; physical staging 5-7 days; legal/administrative 30-60 days unless superseded; judicial constraints persistent while effective. Legacy accounting uses expert adjustments and does not continuously fit Bayesian likelihood ratios.

Historic specificity checks

• Little Rock 1957 -> E2 direct federal military mission

• Detroit 1967 -> E2

• Los Angeles 1992 -> E2

• Ferguson 2014 -> state Guard alone must not trigger federal E2

• Washington DC 2020 -> preparatory E1 without automatic E2

• Jan 6 2021 -> unrest alone not military-authority E2

These six cases are detector sanity checks, not a statistically sufficient validation sample. Likelihood ratios and event probabilities are withheld.

8 | Institutional constraints and weak signals

• D.C. Attorney General Oct21 official advisory: statutes limit armed federal officers and Guard at polls; existing D.C. Guard instructed not to monitor or secure voting sites; advisory is not an operative injunction.

• Northern District of California Oct21 nine-state DHS voter-field preliminary injunction remains stayed through the stated Friday afternoon window as of Oct23 morning; effect/appeal must be rechecked after stay expiration.

• Utah chief election official Oct22 reports DOJ civilian observers will be unarmed, will not approach voters, and must follow state election-observer law.

• Joint Chiefs Chairman Caine Aug31 reported no planned federal/federalized Guard election-site deployment or ballot seizures; prior score, do not reapply.

• California electoral litigation and county challenges continue; no evidence that judicial and local election control mechanisms have been displaced.

• D.C. appellate review of existing Guard deployment continues; no new merits outcome identified in targeted review.

Prediction market (not scored)

Polymarket - Insurrection Act formally invoked by December 31, 2026 | approximately 14% in one indexed market view; older indexed views differed, exact executable timestamp unavailable. Weight: 0. Price views are cached/asynchronous and resolution criteria are narrower than E2. Do not interpret market quote as EEC E2/E3 probability.

9 | Monitoring horizon and convening-date check

Default: 2027-01-03 12:00 EST (Sunday), Twentieth Amendment unless preceding Congress sets another day by law. Horizon: 2027-01-05 23:59 EST; adjust to two calendar days after any enacted different convening date. Enacted change verified: False. Targeted Oct23 public web review found no enacted alternative convening-date law. Direct Congress.gov enacted-law query was blocked by robots; no exhaustive official certification of absence. Later change possible.

10 | Sources and traceability

The source IDs cited in the event table refer to the archived cumulative evidence ledger. Source URLs below are retained from the archived state, not newly reverified in this formatting repair; any questionable source-to-event mapping should be independently checked before relying on it.

[S136] Polymarket Insurrection Act contract, retrieved Oct 23, exchange-quote timestamp not independently fixed: https://polymarket.com/event/insurrection-act-invoked-by/insurrection-act-invoked-by-june-30-851-924

[S137] Congressional Research Service, First Day of a New Congress: https://www.congress.gov/crs_external_products/RS/PDF/RS20722/RS20722.31.pdf

[S138] Congress Countdown, page for 120th Congress start date and no identified 2027 scheduling statute: https://www.congresscountdown.com/info/new-congress-countdown

[S143] California supplemental opposition to proposed segregation of 20,000 ballots: https://storage.courtlistener.com/recap/gov.uscourts.cacd.1043513/gov.uscourts.cacd.1043513.97.0.pdf

[S30] Associated Press, D.C. Guard appeals hearing and continuing mission (Oct. 14, 07:10 EDT): https://apnews.com/article/trump-national-guard-washington-dc-79578459490a2fa1a18d5460cff69029

[S31] U.S. Army, D.C. Guard 260th Special Purpose Brigade established (Mar. 10, 2026): https://www.army.mil/article/290971/dc_guard_activates_unique_brigade_to_strengthen_security_in_nations_capital

[S32] D.C. National Guard, JTF-DC operational overview (Sept. 14, 2026): https://dc.ng.mil/Domestic-Operations/Joint-Task-Force-JTF-District-of-Columbia-DC/

[S34] League of Women Voters, D.C. v. Trump litigation resource (May 26, 2026): https://www.lwv.org/legal-center/district-columbia-v-trump

[S40] Courthouse News, DOJ position on arrest authorities for D.C. Guard (Oct. 24, 2025): https://www.courthousenews.com/doj-argues-national-guard-could-legally-make-arrests-in-dc-deployment/

Record provenance and quality caveat

Bayesian-structured expert index, not a calibrated Bayesian posterior; retrospective catch-up with conservative increments. Court filings in one dispute correlated; score no independent clustering bonus.